

# Linear Algebra

1. A, B are square matrices with  $A + B = AB$ .

Show that  $AB = BA$ .

*Proof :*

Let  $n = \text{order of } A$ .

$$A + B = AB \dots\dots \text{(i)}$$

$$\Rightarrow AB - A - B = O_n$$

$$\Rightarrow AB - A - B + I_n = I_n$$

$$\Rightarrow (A - I_n)(B - I_n) = I_n$$

$$\Rightarrow (B - I_n)(A - I_n) = I_n \quad (\text{why?})$$

$$\Rightarrow BA - A - B + I_n = I_n$$

$$\Rightarrow BA = A + B \dots\dots \text{(ii)}$$

$$\text{(i) , (ii)} \Rightarrow AB = BA$$

□

2.  $M_1, M_2$  are  $n \times n$  matrices with  $M_1M_2 = O, M_2 \neq O_n$ .

Show that  $\det M_1 = 0$ .

*Proof :*

Suppose  $\det M_1 \neq 0$ .

Then  $M_1$  is invertible.

Let  $M_1^{-1}$  be the inverse of  $M_1$

$$M_1M_2 = O$$

$$\Rightarrow M_1^{-1}M_1M_2 = O$$

$$\Rightarrow IM_2 = O$$

$$\Rightarrow M_2 = O \rightarrowleftarrow \text{the assumption } M_2 \neq O_n$$

□

3. A, B are square matrices with  $A^3 = B^3, A^2B = B^2A, A \neq B$ .

Show that  $\det(A^2 + B^2) = 0$ .

*Solution :*

$$(A^2 + B^2)(A - B) = A^3 + B^2A - A^2B - B^3$$

$$= O \quad (\text{by assumption})$$

$$\Rightarrow \det(A^2 + B^2) = 0 \quad (\because A - B \neq O, \text{ then apply Problem 2})$$

□

4. X, Y, Z are  $n \times n$  matrices with  $X + Y + Z = XY + YZ + ZX$ .

Show that the following are equivalent.

- (1)  $XYZ = XZ - ZX$
- (2)  $YZX = YX - XY$
- (3)  $ZXY = ZY - YZ$

*Proof :*

$$(I) \quad X + Y + Z = XY + YZ + ZX \dots\dots \text{ (i)}$$

We show that (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2)

$$\begin{aligned} (X - I_n)(Y - I_n)(Z - I_n) &= XYZ - XY - XZ - YZ + X + Y + Z - I_n \\ &= (XYZ - XZ + ZX) \\ &\quad + (X + Y + Z - XY - YZ - ZX) - I_n \\ &= -I_n \quad (\because (1), \text{(i)}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow (Y - I_n)(Z - I_n)(X - I_n) = -I_n \quad (\text{why?})$$

$$\Rightarrow YZX - YZ - YX - ZX + Y + Z + X - I_n = -I_n$$

$$\Rightarrow YZX - YZ - YX - ZX + X + Y + Z = O_n$$

$$\Rightarrow YZX - YZ - YX - ZX + (XY + YZ + ZX) = O_n \quad (\because \text{(i)})$$

$$\Rightarrow YZX - YX + XY = O$$

$$\Rightarrow YZX = YX - XY$$

□

(II) In (I), we obtain

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} X + Y + Z = XY + YZ + ZX \\ XYZ = XZ - ZX \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow YZX = YX - XY.$$

Consider  $X \rightarrow Y, Y \rightarrow Z, Z \rightarrow X$  in the above.

$$\text{We have } \left. \begin{array}{l} Y + Z + X = YZ + ZX + XY \\ YZX = YX - XY \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow ZXY = ZY - YZ.$$

Thus (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3)

Similarly (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1)

□

## 5. A is an $n \times n$ matrix with real entries.

Show that  $\det(A^2 + I_n) \geq 0$ .

*Proof<sub>1</sub>* :

A is a real matrix

$\Rightarrow$  char. poly. of A is with real coefficients

$\Rightarrow$  the complex eigens of A come in pairs of conjugate numbers

Case 1: all eigen values of A are real.

Let  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  are eigen values of A,  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{R}$

Then  $\lambda_1^2 + 1, \dots, \lambda_n^2 + 1$  are eigen values of  $A^2 + I_n$

$$\Rightarrow \det(A^2 + I_n) = (\lambda_1^2 + 1) \cdots (\lambda_n^2 + 1)$$

$$\geq 0 \quad (\because \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{R})$$

Case 2: all eigen values of A are in  $\mathbb{C} - \mathbb{R}$ .

$$\text{Let } c_1, c_2, \dots, \bar{c}_1, \bar{c}_2, \dots \text{ are all eigen values of A, where } c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{C} - \mathbb{R}$$

$$\Rightarrow c_1^2 + 1, c_2^2 + 1, \dots, \bar{c}_1 + 1, \bar{c}_2 + 1, \dots \text{ are eigen values of } A^2 + I_n$$

$$\Rightarrow \det(A^2 + I_n) = (c_1^2 + 1)(c_2^2 + 1) \cdots (\bar{c}_1^2 + 1)(\bar{c}_2^2 + 1) \cdots$$

$$= (c_1^2 + 1)(c_2^2 + 1) \cdots (\overline{c_1^2 + 1})(\overline{c_2^2 + 1}) \cdots$$

$$\geq 0 \quad (\text{why?})$$

Case 3: some eigen values of A are real and some are in  $\mathbb{C} - \mathbb{R}$ .

Let  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, c_1, c_2, \dots, \bar{c}_1, \bar{c}_2, \dots$  are all eigen values of A,

where  $\lambda_1, \dots \in \mathcal{R}, c_1, \dots \in \mathbb{C} - \mathbb{R}$

Then  $\lambda_1^2 + 1, \lambda_2^2 + 1, \dots, c_1^2 + 1, \dots,$

$$\bar{c}_1^2 + 1, \dots \text{ are all eigen values of } A^2 + I_n$$

$$\Rightarrow \det(A^2 + I_n) = (\lambda_1^2 + 1) \cdots (c_1^2 + 1) \cdots (\bar{c}_1^2 + 1) \cdots$$

$$= (\lambda_1^2 + 1) \cdots (c_1^2 + 1) \cdots (\overline{c_1^2 + 1}) \cdots$$

$$\geq 0$$

*Proof*<sub>2</sub> :

$$\begin{aligned} \det(A^2 + I) &= \det((A + iI)(A - iI)) \\ &= \det((A + iI)\overline{(A + iI)}) \\ &= \det(A + iI) \cdot \det(\overline{(A + iI)}) \\ &= \det(A + iI) \cdot \overline{\det(A + iI)} \geq 0 \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

6. Show that every permutation of  $1, 2, \dots, n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ) is either a cycle or a product of two cycles.

*Proof* :

Let  $\pi$  be a permutation of  $1, 2, \dots, n$ .

Case 1:  $\pi$  is the identity function.

Then  $\pi = (1 2)(1 2)$ .

Case 2:  $\pi$  is not the identity function.

Then  $\pi$  can be written as a product of disjoint cycles.

Subcase 2.a:  $\pi$  is a cycle.

Nothing to prove.

Subcase 2.b:  $\pi$  is a product of at least two disjoint cycles.

Let  $\pi = (a_{11}a_{12} \cdots a_{1r_1})(a_{21}a_{22} \cdots a_{2r_2}) \cdots (a_{k1}a_{k2} \cdots a_{kr_k})$   
be a product of disjoint cycles ( $k \geq 2$ )

Then  $\pi = (a_{k1}a_{k-1,1} \cdots a_{31}a_{21}a_{11})(a_{11}a_{12} \cdots a_{1r_1}a_{21}a_{22} \cdots a_{2r_2} \\ a_{31}a_{32} \cdots a_{3r_3} \cdots a_{k1}a_{k2} \cdots a_{kr_k})$  □

7. For  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n+1$ ,  $A_i \in M_{1 \times n}$  and  $A_1 + A_2 + \cdots + A_{n+1} = O$ .

$$\text{For } i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \text{ let } M_i = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 \\ A_2 \\ \vdots \\ A_{i-1} \\ A_{i+1} \\ \vdots \\ A_{n+1} \end{bmatrix} \in M_{n \times n}$$

Show that  $\det M_1 = (-1)^{i-1} \det M_i$ .

*Proof :*

$$\begin{aligned} \det M_1 &= \det \begin{bmatrix} A_2 \\ A_3 \\ \vdots \\ A_{i-1} \\ A_i \\ A_{i+1} \\ \vdots \\ A_{n+1} \end{bmatrix} \quad (\text{by def. of } M_1) \\ &= \det \begin{bmatrix} A_2 \\ A_3 \\ \vdots \\ A_{i-1} \\ -(A_1 + A_2 + A_3 + \cdots + A_{i-1} + A_{i+1} + \cdots + A_{n+1}) \\ A_{i+1} \\ \vdots \\ A_{n+1} \end{bmatrix} \\ &\quad (\text{by assumption, } A_1 + A_2 + \cdots + A_{n+1} = O) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \det \begin{bmatrix} A_2 \\ A_3 \\ \vdots \\ A_{i-1} \\ -A_1 \\ A_{i+1} \\ \vdots \\ A_{n+1} \end{bmatrix} \quad (\text{why?})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (-1)^{i-2} \det \begin{bmatrix} -A_1 \\ A_2 \\ A_3 \\ \vdots \\ A_{i-1} \\ A_{i+1} \\ \vdots \\ A_{n+1} \end{bmatrix} \quad (\text{why?}) \\
&= (-1)^{i-1} \det M_i \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

8. Let  $M$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix with every row sum and every column sum equal to 0.  
Let  $M_{i,j}$  be the matrix obtained from  $M$  by deleting its  $i$ -th row and its  $j$ -th column.  
Show that for  $1 \leq i, j, i', j' \leq n$ ,  
 $(-1)^{i+j} \det M_{i,j} = (-1)^{i'+j'} \det M_{i',j'}$ .

*Proof :*

Since every column sum of  $M$  is 0, we have, by problem 7,

$$\det M_{1,j} = (-1)^{j-1} \det M_{1,j} \cdots (1)$$

Similarly, since every row sum of  $M$  is 0, we have

$$\det M_{1,1} = (-1)^{j-1} \det M_{1,j} \cdots (2)$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{Thus } (1), (2) \Rightarrow (-1)^{i+j} \det M_{i,j} = \det M_{1,1} \\ \text{Similarly } (-1)^{i'+j'} \det M_{i',j'} = \det M_{1,1} \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow$$

$$(-1)^{i+j} \det M_{i,j} = (-1)^{i'+j'} \det M_{i',j'} \quad \square$$

9. Suppose that  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k, B_1, B_2, \dots, B_k$  are subsets of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ .

Let  $L_1, L_2, \dots, L_k, M_1, M_2, \dots, M_k$  be the following polynomials  
in variables  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ :

$$L_i = \sum_{j \in A_i} x_j, \quad M_i = \sum_{j \in B_i} x_j \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, k.$$

$$\text{Assume that } \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} x_i x_j = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} L_i M_i$$

Show that  $k \geq n - 1$ .

*Proof :*

Consider the following linear equation (with real coefficients)  
in variables  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ .

$$(*) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} L_1 = 0 \\ L_2 = 0 \\ \vdots \\ L_k = 0 \\ x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_n = 0 \end{array} \right.$$

Then the real solution of  $(*)$  is the trivial solution.

$(\because$  Suppose  $x_1 = a_1, x_2 = a_2, \dots, x_n = a_n$  is a real solution of  $(*)$

$$\text{Then } \left\{ \begin{array}{l} L_1 = 0, L_2 = 0, \dots, L_k = 0 \quad \cdots (1) \\ a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_n = 0 \quad \cdots (2) \end{array} \right.$$

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \Rightarrow \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_i a_j &= 0 \quad (\because \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} x_i x_j = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} L_i M_i) \\ \Rightarrow 0 &= (a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_n)^2 \quad (\because (2)) \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} a_i^2 + 2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_i a_j \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} a_i^2 \\ \Rightarrow a_1 &= a_2 = \cdots = a_n = 0 \quad (\because \text{each } a_i \text{ is real}) \end{aligned}$$

Then  $k+1 \geq n$  (why?)

$$\Rightarrow k \geq n-1$$

□

10. Let A, B, C, D are metrices of orders  $m \times m, n \times n, m \times n, m \times n$  respectively such that  $AD - DB = C$ .

Show that  $\begin{pmatrix} A & C \\ O & B \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} A & O \\ O & B \end{pmatrix}$  are similar.

*Proof :*

$$\begin{aligned} AD - DB &= C \\ \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} I_m & D \\ O & I_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A & C \\ O & B \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I_m & -D \\ O & I_n \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} A & O \\ O & B \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{check!}) \\ \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} A & C \\ O & B \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{pmatrix} A & O \\ O & B \end{pmatrix} &\text{ are similar.} \\ (\because \begin{pmatrix} I_m & -D \\ O & I_n \end{pmatrix} &\text{ is the inverse of } \begin{pmatrix} I_m & D \\ O & I_n \end{pmatrix}) \end{aligned}$$

11. A, D are nonsingular matrices and  $M = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ O & D \end{bmatrix}$ . Find  $M^{-1}$ .

*Solution :*

Suppose that A is an  $m \times m$  matrix, D is an  $n \times n$  matrix.

$$\text{Let } \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ O & D \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} E & F \\ G & H \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I_m & O \\ O & I_n \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $E$  is an  $m \times m$  matrix and

$H$  is an  $n \times n$  matrix.

$$\text{Then } \begin{cases} AE = I_m \\ AF + BH = O \\ DG = O \\ DH = I_n \end{cases}$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} E = A^{-1}, G = O, H = D^{-1}, \\ AF = -BH \end{cases}$$

$$\Rightarrow F = -A^{-1}BD^{-1}$$

$$\therefore M^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} E & F \\ G & H \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A^{-1} & -A^{-1}BD^{-1} \\ O & D^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

□

12.  $A, B, C, D$  are  $m_1 \times n_1, m_1 \times n_2, m_2 \times n_1, m_2 \times n_2$  matrices,  
and  $m_1 + m_2 = n_1 + n_2$ .

$$\text{Show that } \det \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} = (-1)^{m_1 m_2 + n_1 n_2} \det \begin{pmatrix} D & C \\ B & A \end{pmatrix}.$$

*Proof :*

$$\begin{aligned} \det \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} &= (-1)^{m_1 m_2} \begin{pmatrix} C & D \\ A & B \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{why?}) \\ &= (-1)^{m_1 m_2} (-1)^{n_1 n_2} \begin{pmatrix} D & C \\ B & A \end{pmatrix} \\ &= (-1)^{m_1 m_2 + n_1 n_2} \begin{pmatrix} D & C \\ B & A \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

□

13.  $A, B, C, D$  are  $n \times n$  matrices and  $C^t, D^t$  denote the transposes of  $C, D$ .

Suppose that  $CD^t + DC^t = O$ .

$$\text{Show that } \det \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}^2 = \det(AD^t + BC^t)^2.$$

*Proof :*

$$\begin{aligned} \det \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}^2 &= \det \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \det \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \det \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \det \begin{pmatrix} D & C \\ B & A \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{by previous problem}) \\ &= \det \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \det \begin{pmatrix} D & C \\ B & A \end{pmatrix}^t \\ &= \det \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \det \begin{pmatrix} D^t & B^t \\ C^t & A^t \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \det \begin{pmatrix} AD^t + BC^t & AB^t + BA^t \\ CD^t + DC^t & CB^t + DA^t \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \det \begin{pmatrix} AD^t + BC^t & AB^t + BA^t \\ O & CB^t + DA^t \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \det(AD^t + BC^t) \det(CB^t + DA^t) \\
&= \det(AD^t + BC^t) \det(CB^t + DA^t)^t \\
&= \det(AD^t + BC^t) \det(BC^t + AD^t) \\
&= \det(AD^t + BC^t)^2 \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

14. Show that

$$\sum_{k=1}^m \frac{1}{\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq k}}^m (1 - \frac{x_j}{x_k})} = 1 \quad \text{where } x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m \ (m \geq 2) \text{ are distinct numbers.}$$

*Proof*<sub>1</sub> :

The following is the well-known Vandermonde determinant.

$$\left| \begin{array}{cccc} x_1^{m-1} & x_2^{m-1} & \cdots & x_m^{m-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_1^2 & x_2^2 & \cdots & x_m^2 \\ x_1 & x_2 & \cdots & x_m \\ 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \end{array} \right| = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} (x_i - x_j) \quad (1)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \begin{array}{cccc} x_1^{m-1} & x_2^{m-1} & \cdots & x_m^{m-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_1^2 & x_2^2 & \cdots & x_m^2 \\ x_1 & x_2 & \cdots & x_m \\ 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \end{array} \right| \\
&= x_1^{m-1} \left| \begin{array}{ccccc} x_2^{m-2} & x_3^{m-1} & \cdots & \cdots & x_m^{m-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ x_2 & x_3 & \cdots & \cdots & x_m \\ 1 & 1 & \cdots & \cdots & 1 \end{array} \right| \left| \begin{array}{ccccc} x_1^{m-2} & x_3^{m-2} & x_4^{m-2} & \cdots & x_m^{m-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_1 & x_3 & x_4 & \cdots & x_m \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \end{array} \right| \\
&\quad + \dots \\
&= x_1^{m-1} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq m \\ i,j \neq 1}} (x_i - x_j) - x_2^{m-1} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq m \\ i,j \neq 2}} (x_i - x_j) + \dots \quad (\text{by (1)})
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^m (-1)^{k+1} x_k^{m-1} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq m \\ i,j \neq k}} (x_i - x_j).$$

Combining the above with (1), we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^m (-1)^{k+1} x_k^{m-1} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq m \\ i,j \neq k}} (x_i - x_j) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} (x_i - x_j).$$

Thus we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^m (-1)^{k+1} x_k^{m-1} \frac{\prod_{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq m \\ i,j \neq k}} (x_i - x_j)}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} (x_i - x_j)} = 1.$$

Equivalently,

$$\sum_{k=1}^m (-1)^{k+1} x_k^{m-1} \frac{1}{\prod_{k < j \leq m} (x_k - x_j) \prod_{1 \leq i < k} (x_i - x_k)} = 1.$$

Thus

$$\sum_{k=1}^m (-1)^{k+1} x_k^{m-1} \frac{1}{(-1)^{k-1} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq m \\ j \neq k}} (x_k - x_j)} = 1,$$

which implies

$$\sum_{k=1}^m \frac{1}{\prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq m \\ j \neq k}} (1 - \frac{x_j}{x_k})} = 1.$$

*Proof<sub>2</sub>* :

Let  $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) = \sum_{k=1}^m (-1)^{k+1} x_k^{m-1} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq m \\ i,j \neq k}} (x_i - x_j)$ . We need to show that  $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} (x_i - x_j)$ .

For  $m = 2$ , this is trivial.

For  $m = 3$ , this holds since

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_1, x_2, x_3) &= x_1^2(x_2 - x_3) - x_2^2(x_1 - x_3) + x_3^2(x_1 - x_2) \\ &= (x_1 - x_2)(x_1 - x_3)(x_2 - x_3). \end{aligned}$$

So let  $m \geq 4$ . We first show that  $\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} (x_i - x_j) | f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m)$ .

Suppose that  $p, q$  are positive integers with  $1 \leq p < q \leq m$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Then } f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) &= (-1)^{p+1} x_p^{m-1} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq m \\ i,j \neq p}} (x_i - x_j) + (-1)^{q+1} x_q^{m-1} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq m \\ i,j \neq q}} (x_i - x_j) \\
&\quad + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq m \\ k \neq p,q}} (-1)^{k+1} x_k^{m-1} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq m \\ i,j \neq k}} (x_i - x_j).
\end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
&(-1)^{p+1} x_p^{m-1} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq m \\ i,j \neq p}} (x_i - x_j) \\
&= (-1)^{p+1} x_p^{m-1} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq m \\ i,j \notin \{p,q\}}} (x_i - x_j) \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i < q \\ i \neq p}} (x_i - x_q) \prod_{q < j \leq m} (x_q - x_j) \\
&= (-1)^{p+1} x_p^{m-1} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq m \\ i,j \notin \{p,q\}}} (x_i - x_j) (-1)^{q-2} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i < q \\ i \neq p}} (x_q - x_i) \prod_{q < j \leq m} (x_q - x_j) \\
&= (-1)^{p+q-1} x_p^{m-1} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq m \\ i,j \notin \{p,q\}}} (x_i - x_j) \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i < q \\ i \neq p}} (x_q - x_i) \prod_{q < j \leq m} (x_q - x_j) \\
&= (-1)^{p+q-1} x_p^{m-1} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq m \\ i,j \notin \{p,q\}}} (x_i - x_j) \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq m \\ i \neq p,q}} (x_q - x_i).
\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Note also that

$$\begin{aligned}
&(-1)^{q+1} x_q^{m-1} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq m \\ i,j \neq q}} (x_i - x_j) \\
&= (-1)^{q+1} x_q^{m-1} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq m \\ i,j \notin \{p,q\}}} (x_i - x_j) \prod_{1 \leq i < p} (x_i - x_p) \prod_{\substack{p < j \leq m \\ j \neq q}} (x_p - x_j) \\
&= (-1)^{q+1} x_q^{m-1} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq m \\ i,j \notin \{p,q\}}} (x_i - x_j) (-1)^{p-1} \prod_{1 \leq i < p} (x_p - x_i) \prod_{\substack{p < j \leq m \\ j \neq q}} (x_p - x_j) \\
&= (-1)^{p+q} x_q^{m-1} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq m \\ i,j \notin \{p,q\}}} (x_i - x_j) \prod_{1 \leq i < p} (x_p - x_i) \prod_{\substack{p < j \leq m \\ j \neq q}} (x_p - x_j) \\
&= (-1)^{p+q} x_q^{m-1} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq m \\ i,j \notin \{p,q\}}} (x_i - x_j) \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq m \\ i \neq p,q}} (x_p - x_i).
\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

From (1),(2),(3), we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
&f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \\
&= (-1)^{p+q-1} x_p^{m-1} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq m \\ i,j \notin \{p,q\}}} (x_i - x_j) \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq m \\ i \neq p,q}} (x_q - x_i) \\
&\quad + (-1)^{p+q} x_q^{m-1} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq m \\ i,j \notin \{p,q\}}} (x_i - x_j) \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq m \\ i \neq p,q}} (x_p - x_i) \\
&\quad + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq m \\ k \neq p,q}} (-1)^{k+1} x_k^{m-1} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq m \\ i,j \neq k}} (x_i - x_j).
\end{aligned}$$

Replacing  $x_p$  by  $x_q$  in  $f(\dots, x_p, \dots, x_q, \dots)$ , we obtain  $f(\dots, x_q, \dots, x_q, \dots) = 0$ , which implies  $x_p - x_q | f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m)$ .

$$\text{Hence } \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} (x_i - x_j) | f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m). \quad (4)$$

$$\text{Now we show that } f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} (x_i - x_j).$$

Viewing  $\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} (x_i - x_j)$  as a polynomial in the variable  $x_1$ , we see that the highest degree of  $x_1$  is  $m - 1$ , and the coefficient of  $x_1^{m-1}$  is  $\prod_{2 \leq i < j \leq m} (x_i - x_j)$ ; this means that

$$\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} (x_i - x_j) = (\prod_{2 \leq i < j \leq m} (x_i - x_j)) x_1^{m-1} + (\dots) x_1^{m-2} + \dots. \quad (5)$$

Viewing  $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m)$  as a polynomial in the variable  $x_1$ , we see that the highest degree of  $x_1$  is  $m - 1$ , and the coefficient of  $x_1^{m-1}$  is  $\prod_{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq m \\ i,j \neq 1}} (x_i - x_j)$ ; this means that

$$f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) = (\prod_{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq m \\ i,j \neq 1}} (x_i - x_j)) x_1^{m-1} + (\dots) x_1^{m-2} + \dots. \quad (6)$$

From (4), (5), (6), we have that  $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} (x_i - x_j)$ . This completes the proof.